



1 generic equivalent of the medication dispensed, causing the labels of said  
2 medications dispensed to be misleading or misbranded and dispensing prescription  
3 only medications without a proper prescription order.

4 4. That LAWRENCE ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN is aware of the fact that the conduct  
5 contained in the factual allegations constitute grounds for disciplinary  
6 action as provided for in A.R.S. § 32-1927(B)(2)

7 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

8 II

9 1. The Board concludes that it has jurisdiction in this Matter pursuant to  
10 A.R.S. § 32-1927.

11 2. The Board concludes that LAWRENCE ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN did violate A.R.S. §  
12 32-1963.01, 32-1967(A)(1) and 32-1968 to wit: failure to identify on the label  
13 of the medication container the proper manufacturer or distributor of the  
14 generic equivalent medication dispensed, causing labels of said medications  
15 dispensed to be misleading or misbranded and dispensing prescription only  
16 medications without a proper prescription order.

17 3. The Board further concludes that LAWRENCE ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN is in viola-  
18 tion of A.R.S. § 32-1927(B)(2).

19 ORDER

20 III

21 The Certificate of Registration 9039 issued to LAWRENCE ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN is  
22 hereby placed on probation for a period of five (5) years ending after March 27,  
23 1996 with the following conditions:

24 1. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of \$ 2000.00 due and payable  
25 within 60 days of issuance of this Order.

2. Respondent shall not be a preceptor during the time of probation ending after March 27, 1996.

3. Respondent shall take and pass the jurisprudence examination with a score of 90% or better with privilege to retake the examination until the score is achieved within 180 days of date of this Order.

4. Respondent shall pay all fees and complete all Continuing Education requirements throughout the probation period to maintain Certificate of Registration 9039.

5. Respondent shall obey all the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy.

6. Respondent shall appear before the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy after March 27, 1996 to show cause why the probation imposed on Certificate of Registration 9039 should be removed.

7. If the Respondent violates this Order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this Order, the Board, after giving the Respondent Notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary action against the Respondent.

DATED this 29th day of March, 1991

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

SEAL

By

Llyn A. Lloyd  
Executive Director

1 Copies of the foregoing Finding  
2 of Fact, Conclusions of Law and  
3 Board Order mailed by Certified  
4 Mail this 29th day of March,  
5 1991 to:

6 LAWRENCE ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN  
7 8741 E. San Daniel  
8 Scottsdale, AZ 85258

9 and by Courier Mail to:

10 Montgomery Lee  
11 Assistant Attorney General  
12 1275 W. Washington  
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007  
14 Attorney for the State

15 and

16 Paula Bickett  
17 Assistant Attorney General  
18 1275 W. Washington  
19 Phoenix, AZ 85007  
20 Solicitor General's Office

21

22

23

24

25

26



ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

5060 NORTH 19TH AVENUE SUITE 101  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015  
602 255 5125

January 9, 1991

Lawrence Arthur Goldstein RPh  
3628 E. Thomas Rd.  
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Dear Mr. Goldstein,

A Notice of Hearing containing allegations based on reported violations of pharmacy related statutes or rules is contained in this mailing citing the specific violations of the pharmacy law.

In the interest of saving you the time, expense and inconvenience of a formal hearing in this matter, we have included a Consent Agreement and Proposed Board Order.

A pre-hearing conference has been established for 10:00 AM on February 5, 1991 at the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy Office where you will be extended the opportunity to meet with one Board Member and two staff people to discuss the allegations and the proposed Consent and Board Order. Should you agree to the conditions, you would not be required to appear before the Board for a formal hearing. Finalization of the Matter would occur after it has been accepted by the Board at the meeting of March 28, 1991.

The pre-hearing conference is intended to clarify your position in this matter and answer any questions you might have on your rights in this matter. Your attendance at the pre-hearing conference does not obligate you to enter into any agreement at that time but is only intended to offer you the opportunity to dispose of the matter with the least inconvenience to you.

Please contact me at this office to confirm or refuse attendance at the conference or for any further questions you might have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Joe J. Rowan", is written over the typed name.

Joe J. Rowan  
Deputy Director